Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/796,359	HAWKINS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Anu Ramana	3775
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Thomas M. DiMauro.	(3)	
(2) Anu Ramana.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 August 2009.		
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☑ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: 33,35 and 36.		
Identification of prior art discussed: None.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☑ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N/A		
Substance of Interview Including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments, deplicant's more-sendants agreed to carcellog calisms, 33 and 36. Applicant's Representative also agreed to a minor amendment to claim 35 thereby absolute the Instent application in condition for allowance. See allowed Extension's Amendment for challes.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW, OS MPEP Section 713.04, If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DAYS. OR THE MAIL MOD GATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DAYMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		